Terahertz and infrared detectors based on graphene structures
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**A B S T R A C T**

We consider newly proposed terahertz and infrared interband detectors based on multiple-graphene-layer structures with \(p-i-n\) junctions. Using the developed device model, we calculate the photodetector characteristics (responsivity and dark current limited detectivity) and compare them with the characteristics of other photodetectors. It is shown that due to relatively high quantum efficiency and weakened thermogeneration processes, the detectors under consideration can exhibit superior performance.
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1. Introduction

Carbon structures based on monolayers of carbon atoms forming a dense honeycomb two-dimensional crystals, namely, graphene, individual graphene layers (GLs), and graphene bilayers (GBLs) exhibit quite different electron and optical characteristics. Unique properties of GLs and GBLs [1,2] make them promising for different nanoelectronic and optoelectronic device applications. The gapless energy spectrum of GLs, which is an obstacle for creating transistor-based digital circuits, promotes the use of GLs in terahertz (THz) and infrared (IR) devices. The possibility of the opening of the energy gap in GBLs by applying the transverse electric field also can be useful in the device application. The discovery of the fact (see Ref. [3] and the references therein) that the multiple GL structures with disoriented stacks of GLs (with the non-Bernal stacking) exhibit the same energy spectrum as an individual GL, opens up an opportunity of creating effective THz and IR lasers and detectors based on such structures [4,5]. The main advantage of the disoriented multiple-GL structure in comparison with the single GL structure is much higher interband quantum efficiency (which increases with increasing number of GLs in the structure). Due to high quantum efficiency as well as features of their absorption spectrum and thermogeneration processes, THz and IR photodetectors based on GL structures can occupy a marked place among other well documented photodetectors [6–10], particularly considering a very fast progress in graphene industrial production techniques [11].

In this paper, we consider the characteristics of THz and IR interband detectors based on multiple GL structures with the \(p-i-n\) junctions extending our previous publication [5], in particular, including the examination of the role of interband tunneling at lowered temperatures. The devices under consideration are shown in Fig. 1. The \(p-i-n\) junctions in these multiple-GL photodiodes (MGL-PDs) can be formed due to a chemical doping [12]. These junctions in the gated multiple GL structures with not to many Gs can also be electrically induced [5,13] (as in individual Gs [14]). Our consideration is primarily focused on MGL-PDs with chemically doped \(n\)- and \(p\)-sections. The MGL-PDs with “electrical doping” can be treated similarly (with limited number of GLs). We compare the characteristics of MGL-PDs with those of some other photodetectors, particularly, with GBL field-effect phototransistors (GBL-PTs) with \(n-p-n\) junctions proposed recently [15].

2. Characteristics of GL-PDs

We consider the MGL-PDs with the structures shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b) under the reverse bias voltage \(V\) assuming their irradiation by normally incident THz/IR radiation with the intensity \(I\) and frequency \(\Omega\). The \(p\)- and \(n\)-sections in the structure in Fig. 1(b) are formed due to the gate voltages \(V_p\) and \(V_n\). Due to the reverse voltage bias, depleted \(i\)-sections are formed in each GL between the \(p\)- and \(n\)-sections adjacent to the pertinent side contacts. As in the customary \(p-i-n\) photodiodes, the electrons and holes
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photogenerated in the \(i\)-sections induce the terminal current which constitutes the output electric signal. Disregarding the recombination of electrons and holes in the \(i\)-sections of all GLs, the net photocurrent (per unit width perpendicular to the current) can be presented as

\[
J_{\text{photo}} = \frac{4e|1 - (1 - \beta_0)^K|}{h\Omega} l.
\]

Here, \(e\) is the electron charge, \(2l\) is the length of the \(i\)-sections (see Fig. 1), \(h\) is the reduced Planck constant, \(K\) is the number of GLs, and \(\beta_0\) is the interband absorption coefficient of radiation. The latter is given by Falkovsky and Varlamov [16]

\[
\beta_0 = \beta|1 - F(h\Omega/2)|,
\]

where \(\beta = \pi e^2/c h \approx \pi/137 \approx 0.023\), \(c\) is the speed of light in vacuum, and \(F(a)\) is the electron (hole) energy distribution function in the \(i\)-sections of each GL. At moderate irradiation and reverse bias conditions, the electron and hole densities in the \(i\)-sections are much smaller than the equilibrium density (at which \(F_{\text{eq}}(0) = 1/2\)). Hence, strongly nonequilibrium conditions under consideration, \(F(h\Omega/2) = F(0) \ll 1/2\). Due to this, the term with \(F(h\Omega/2)\) in Eq. (2) can be neglected (see also below). As a result, using Eqs. (1) and (2), the MGL-PD responsivity can be presented in the following form:

\[
R = \frac{2e|1 - (1 - \beta_0^K)|}{h\Omega} K, \quad 2e\beta = \frac{\eta J_{\text{photo}}}{h\Omega}.
\]

where \(K = [1 - (1 - \beta_0^K)]/\beta\). The quantity \(\eta = 2[1 - (1 - \beta_0^K)] = 2/K\) constitutes the MGL-PD quantum efficiency. If \(K = 20\), one obtains \(\eta \approx 74\%\), while at \(K = 1\), \(\eta \approx 4.6\%\). The dependences of the MGL-PD responsivity \(R\) on the number of GLs \(K\) calculated for different radiation frequencies \(f = \Omega/2\pi\) are shown in Fig. 2. One can see that the responsivity of MGL-PDs with sufficiently large number of GLs is very high in a fairly wide range of radiation frequencies. This is attributed to a strong interband absorption (in each of the multiple GLs) and almost flat its spectral dependence. The dark current \(J_{\text{dark}}\) in MGL-PDs is determined by the rates of thermogeneration and interband tunneling, \(g_{\text{th}}\) and \(g_{\text{tunn}}\): \(\eta J_{\text{photo}} = J_{\text{dark}}\) as

\[
J_{\text{dark}} = 4K\eta g_{\text{th}} + g_{\text{tunn}}.
\]

The dark-current limited detectivity, \(D^*\), can be expressed in terms of the responsivity, \(R\) and the dark current, \(J_{\text{dark}}\), as

\[
D^* = \frac{2\pi R}{\sqrt{2K(g_{\text{th}} + g_{\text{tunn}})h\Omega}}.
\]

To achieve maximum detectivity at chosen temperature, one needs to suppress the tunneling current up to the acceptable level. The tunneling current is proportional to \(V^2/\sqrt{I} [5,17]\). Hence at sufficiently low bias voltages in the MGL-PDs with not too strong \(i\)-sections at elevated temperatures, the detectivity limited by the thermogeneration. Fig. 3 shows the \(D^*\) versus \(K\) dependences calculated using Eq. (6) for different radiation frequencies \(f = \Omega/2\pi\). For the calculations, the values of the thermogeneration rate were assumed calculated previously: \(g_{\text{th}} = 10^{10}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) at \(T = 300\text{ K}\) and \(g_{\text{th}} = 10^{13}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) at \(T = 77\text{ K}\), respectively [18].

One of the most important points, which follows from Fig. 3, is fairly high values of the detectivity at room temperatures. In Eq. (2), we neglected the dependence of the interband absorption coefficient \(\beta_0\) on \(F(h\Omega/2)\), i.e., on the population of the conductance and valence bands at nonequilibrium conditions under consideration. This is justified if \(F(0)\) is small. The latter quantity can be estimated as \(F(0) = 2g_{\text{th}}/V\Sigma_{\text{eq}}\), where \(\Sigma_{\text{eq}}\) is the equilibrium electron and hole density and \(V\) is the mean electron and hole velocity in the \(i\)-sections. This velocity varies from \(\sqrt{\nu_s}\) to \(\nu_s/2\), in the ballistic regime to \(\sqrt{\nu_s}\) in the case of the transport of electrons and holes controlled by their scattering (\(\nu_s = 10^9\text{ cm/s}\) is the characteristic velocity in GLs [1,2] and \(\mu\) is the electron and hole mobility). Setting \(2l = 30\mu\text{m}, \nu_s = 5\times10^7\text{ cm/s}, g_{\text{th}} = 10^{13}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\), and \(\Sigma_{\text{eq}} = 5.4\times10^9\text{cm}^{-2}\) at \(T = 77\text{ K}\) we arrive at \(F(0) \approx 10^{-7}\). At \(T = 300\text{ K}\), \(\Sigma(0)\) is larger, but
3. Effect of tunneling

The interband tunneling in the \( i \)-section can markedly contribute to the dark current and decrease the MGL-PD detectivity. The rate of the tunneling in a GL at the electric field \( \varepsilon = V/2I \) can be estimated as [17]

\[
\gamma_{\text{tunn}} = \frac{1}{4\pi \nu W^2} \left( \frac{eV}{2I} \right)^{3/2}.
\]

Using Eq. (7), one can arrive at the following condition, at which the tunneling weakly affects the detectivity (\( \gamma_{\text{tunn}} \ll \gamma_{\text{th}} \)):

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{V}{2I} \ll \left( 4\pi \nu W^2 \right)^{3/2} \frac{h \nu / \varepsilon}{\gamma_{\text{tunn}}} = \varepsilon_{\text{tunn}}.
\]

The quantity \( \varepsilon_{\text{tunn}} \) strongly depends on the temperature via the temperature dependence of \( \gamma_{\text{th}} \). Using the above data for \( \gamma_{\text{th}} \), for \( T = 300 \text{ K} \) we obtain \( \varepsilon_{\text{tunn}} \approx 175 \text{ V/cm} \). Assuming that the electron and hole mobility is \( \mu = 4 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and setting \( 2I = 30 \mu \text{m} \), the pertinent transit time \( t_{\text{tunn}} = 2I/\mu \varepsilon_{\text{tunn}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s} \). This time is of the same order of magnitude or shorter than the recombination time [18]. Hence, in MGL-PDs with such or shorter \( i \)-section, the role recombination is weak. In the samples with larger \( 2I \), the recombination can lead to a decrease in \( R \) and \( D' \).

At lower temperatures, the thermogeneration rate in GLs can be rather small, so that the main mechanism limiting the detectivity can be associated with the tunneling. The curves with markers in Fig. 3 correspond to the \( D' \) vs \( K \) dependences calculated for the case of relatively low temperature at which the tunneling is the dominant mechanism of the dark current (\( T < T_{\text{tunn}} \), where \( T_{\text{tunn}} \) is the temperature at which \( \gamma_{\text{th}} = \gamma_{\text{tunn}} \) for a given strength of the electric field \( \varepsilon \)). It was assumed that \( \varepsilon = 2.5 \text{ V/cm} \). As it seen from comparison of the low-temperature dependences in Fig. 3, the tunneling dark current can substantially limit the detectivity. Rough estimates of the threshold temperature \( T_{\text{tunn}} \) (for \( \varepsilon = 1.5 \text{ V/cm} \)) yield \( T_{\text{tunn}} \approx 250 \text{ K} \). Since at \( T < T_{\text{tunn}} \), the electron and hole mobility can be fairly high and the ballistic transport along the \( i \)-section can occur, the transit time might be much shorter that the recombination time even at rather weak electric fields.

4. Comparison of MGL-PDs and GBL-PTs (quantum efficiency versus photoelectric gain)

Let us compare the characteristics of MGL-PDs with those of GBL-PTs. The structure of the latter which comprises a GBL is shown in Fig. 1(c). At positively biased back gate and negatively biased top gate, the GBL includes the \( n \)-section near the edge contacts and the \( p \)-section beneath the top gate, so that the \( n-p-n \) junction is formed [15]. In contrast to GLs, the energy spectrum of the GBL in the device in question exhibits the energy gap, \( E_g \approx \Delta V_0 / 2W \), i.e., proportional to the back- and top-gate voltages, \( V_0 \) and \( V_t \) (\( d \approx 0.35 \text{ nm} \) is the spacing between GLs and \( W \) is the gate layers thickness). This leads to the voltage-controlled gap in the radiation absorption spectrum. Another feature is associated with the accumulation of the photogenerated holes under the top-gate. These holes affect the current injection between the side contact and provide the photoelectric gain. As follows from [15], at \( h \omega > E_g \) one can assume that the quantum efficiency in GBL-PTs is about \( \beta \), i.e., much lower that in MGL-PDs with large \( K \). For rough estimates, the thermogeneration rate in GBL-PTs can be assumed to be of the same order of magnitude as in MGL-PDs, despite the energy gap in the formers. This is because the thermogeneration at the temperatures of interest is associated by the absorption of optical phonons, whose energy \( h \omega_0 \approx 0.2 \text{ eV} \) significantly exceeds the energy gap \( E_g \). However, the photoelectric gain in GBL-PTs can be rather large [15]:

\[
g_{\text{GBL}} \sim \exp \left[ \frac{eV}{k_B T} \left( 1 - \frac{4d}{a_B} \right) \right].
\]

Here, \( \omega \) is the Fermi energy in the source contact, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( a_B \) is the Bohr radius. Setting \( a_B = 4 \text{ nm} \), for the term in the right-hand side of Eq. (7) one obtains \( \exp(0.65 \omega / k_B T) \). Taking into account that the photoelectric gain in MGL-PDs is \( \sim 1 \) (electron-hole recombination in the \( i \)-sections of GLs is insignificant) and using simplified formulae from Ref. [15], for the ratios of \( R/R_{\text{QW}} \) and \( D'/D_{\text{QW}} \) we obtain

\[
\frac{R}{R_{\text{QW}}} \approx 2^K \exp \left( -0.65 \frac{W_0}{x_0 T} \right).
\]

\[
\frac{D'}{D_{\text{QW}}} \approx 2^K \frac{V_t}{\sqrt{V_0}} \exp \left( 0.325 \frac{f}{k_B T} \right) \left( \frac{g_{\text{th}}}{g_{\text{th}} + g_{\text{GBL}}} \right).
\]

In Eqs. (10) and (11), we omitted preexponential factors which are of the order of unity. We also have taken into account that the interband tunneling in the GBL-PTs is essentially suppressed due to the energy gap. The Fermi energy of electrons electrically induced near the source edge is equal to \( \omega = e(V_t/a_B) \) [15]. Setting \( W = 10 \text{ nm} \) and \( V_t = 2 \text{ V} \), we obtain \( \omega \approx e(V_t/a_B) \approx 100 \text{ meV} \). In this case, assuming that \( k \approx 20 \) (\( \kappa \approx 16 \)) at \( T = 300 \text{ K} \), we arrive at \( R/R_{\text{QW}} \approx 2.4 \) and \( D'/D_{\text{QW}} \approx 1.95 \). At lower temperatures, these ratios can be smaller than unity. Indeed, for instance, at \( T = 200 \text{ K} \), \( R/R_{\text{QW}} \approx 0.145 \).

5. Comparison with some other photodetectors

Due to high interband absorption coefficient of GLs, which much higher than the intersubband absorption coefficient of quantum wells (QW) and quantum dot (QD) arrays, the responsivity of MGL-PDs (even with a small number of GLs) substantially exceeds that of QW infrared photodetectors (QWIPs), \( R_{\text{QW}} \), and of QD infrared photodetectors (QDIPs), \( R_{\text{QD}} \) (whose responsivity is virtually independent of number of QL and QD arrays [7–9]). The MGL-PD detectivity also can be much higher than that of QWIPs and QDIPs due to both relatively high responsivity and lower thermogeneration rate [15]. Some increase in the ratios \( R/R_{\text{QW}} \), \( R/R_{\text{QD}} \), \( D'/D_{\text{QW}} \), \( D'/D_{\text{QD}} \).
and $D'/D_{op}$ is possible when the probability of electron capture into QWs and QD arrays $p_c \ll 1$ (for more details see Ref. [5]).

As for comparison of MGL-PDs with the photodetectors based on narrow-gap and gapless bulk material akin to HgCdTe, the main possible advantage of the formers is lower thermogeneration rate. This is because the thermogeneration in GLs at not too low temperatures can be attributed to the absorption of optical phonons. However, due to very high optical phonon energy in GL ($\hbar\omega_0 = 0.2$ eV) compared to HgCdTe and other material, the probability of the optical phonon absorption in GLs accompanied by the interband transition is relatively small. In contrast to material like HgCdTe in which the Auger generation–recombination processes play an important role [10,21], such processes in GLs are forbidden [22].

6. Conclusions

Using the developed device model for MGL-PDs, we calculated their responsivity and detectivity and demonstrated that MGL-PDs with multiple GLs can exhibit the performance comparable with the performance of GBL-PTs. It is also shown that MGL-PDs can substantially surpass QWIPs and QDIPS.
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